

Moneyball, but for kids: Games as vehicles for predatory prediction markets

Florence M. Chee

Loyola University Chicago
fchee@luc.edu

Daniel James Joseph

Manchester Metropolitan University
d.joseph@mmu.ac.uk

Keywords

Prediction markets, ethics gamblification, children, crypto, Roblox, loot boxes, political economy, policy, virtual currency

INTRODUCTION

In a recent interview with the New York Times' podcast *Hard Fork* (2025), co-founder and CEO of one of the largest user-generated content engines, *Roblox*, David Baszucki said that he would welcome the development of a prediction market based on the Polygon blockchain network in Roblox and that "it's a brilliant idea if it can be done in an educational way that's legal." Due to the long standing links between gambling, video games, and the process of gamblification we should not be surprised by the game industry's interest in prediction markets (Macey and Hamari, 2024).

Prediction markets, such as Kalshi and Polymarket, allow users to buy contracts based on perceptions of the likelihood of event outcomes, be they sports, wars, or election outcomes. Despite regulatory and policy ambiguity worldwide, a prediction market is, at its root, a form of gambling. By the time of the 2024 US presidential election more than 3.3 billion dollars on the winner had been wagered on Polymarket (Polymarket, 2026). These platforms also keep ending up in the news for fraud, as large wagers allegedly based on insider knowledge get media attention .

We see this awkward proposal by Roblox's Baszucki for a children's prediction market as a continuation of what Brock and Johnson (2021) described as the "gamblification of digital games". There are so many instances within the lootbox laden ecosystem of *Roblox* or *Fortnite* that yes, in some ways, a prediction market isn't incongruous with what adults and children alike experience in them. This paper's intervention is at a theoretical and policy level: first, we contextualize prediction markets within a longer history of gamblification. Second, we explore the possibilities of a theoretical prediction market *within* Roblox as a policy puzzle to investigate the limits of existing platform governance frameworks in the digital games industry.

Proceedings of DiGRA 2026

© 2026 Authors & Digital Games Research Association DiGRA. Personal and educational classroom use of this paper is allowed, commercial use requires specific permission from the author.

PREDICTION MARKETS AND GAMBLIFICATION

Prediction markets, in various forms, have existed over the course of industrial and post-industrial society but they have been given wider scale and visibility by the spread of Polymarket and Kalshi. Their awkward theoretical origins derive from the field of economics and information studies, arguing for prediction markets as *information processors* justifying neoliberal capitalism as superior to socialist central planning (Mirowski and Nik-Khah, 2017). Polymarket and Kalshi also embody various approaches to creating such markets: Polymarket uses unregulated crypto and a public ledger to operate, while Kalshi sought regulatory approval with the US Commodities Futures Trading Commission to get around gambling regulation. Key moments since the late 2024 legalization of prediction markets in the US have seen the proliferation of betting money on virtually anything.

The rise of prediction markets is given context by the wider societal process of *gamblification*. Gamblification (see Brock and Johnson, 2021) is a process that was recognized by scholars in the late 2000s (McMullan and Miller, 2008) describing “the ongoing colonisation of sports, and sporting cultures, by the gambling industry” (Macey and Hamari, 2024:2052). In this context, sports television advertising space was used to push viewers towards online gambling sites. This process has since become more widespread, extending beyond sports, and is described as the “(increased) presence of gambling (or gambling-related content) in non-gambling contexts” (2055). In digital games this is made most visible in the form of loot boxes in nearly every live-service or free-2-play games.

CHILDREN & MAGICAL THINKING IN POLICY CIRCLES

Returning to the example of a prediction market in Roblox, it’s entirely possible that such experiments with explicit gambling already exist, as *Roblox* isn’t a game per se, but a user-generated content engine (UGCE) that has struggled with content moderation of years (Gilbert and Farokhmanesh, 2025). We would argue that the presence of gamblified affordances in games (be they loot boxes or otherwise) and hyper targeted advertising encouraging the purchase or engagement in gamblified activities more than enough to raise concern. Children are key audience for Roblox and other UGCEs and a vulnerable constituency: their ludic pleasures, digital rights in terms of games, play, and leisure warrant specific efforts to design ethical worlds (Livingstone and Sylwander, 2025; Crepax and Mühlberg, 2022; Grimes, 2021; Milkaite et al., 2025).

Yet policy developments when it comes to gamblification of digital games is lacking, especially in the current geopolitical and economic context. It is important to draw attention to the structural shift in international gaming and gambling policies as well as their specific geopolitical drivers. In the USA, since the 2018 repeal of the Professional and Amateur Sports Protection Act of 1992 more than 35 states have legalized some form of US-based sports betting. Since 2023, there is also firm user growth across Europe with a 5% revenue increase in revenue with casino apps and sports betting apps use by young adults driving growth (EGBA, 2025; Mordor, 2025).

In the context of this worldwide gambling boom, regulation of gamblification in the form of loot boxes (because they share nearly all of the attributes of gambling) in

games has been lacklustre or ineffective (Xiao and Lund, 2025; Xiao, 2022). If the industry is to abide by any commitment to social sustainability, governments and game designers are going to need to take a hard look at how gamblification is relied on to sustain an industry in crisis (Busch, Chee, and Sihvonen 2025; Xiao, 2021). Prediction markets are popular for a reason and assessing them appropriately from a policy perspective in the context of user-generated context engines is important.

PREDICTION MARKETS IN ROBLOX AS A POLICY PUZZLE

Loot boxes in most markets, with the well-cited exception of Belgium, are not currently regulated as gambling, in part, because of the lack of ability to extract or transfer any real-world money from the game, or between players, in which they exist (Xiao, 2022). While as yet we are not aware of any existing prediction markets in *Roblox*, we can assume that any active market created in the game would fall under the existing platform governance frameworks for selling in-game content. Currently *Roblox* (dependent on user location) requires developers to disclose the odds of “random virtual items” in their UGC Terms of Use (Roblox, 2026). The creation of a prediction market would almost certainly require the creation of a new clause in the ToU to reflect the contingent, real-world nature of rewarding in-game currencies based on real or virtual events. This is a governance policy puzzle (Gorwa and Veale, 2024) for the platform, as well for policy makers and critics, if any and all regulation of gamblification is contingent on the extraction of/ and/or tradeability of virtual items between users.

GAMING PREDICTION MARKETS IN THESE UNCERTAIN TIMES

In a time of polycrisis, the environmental conditions for prediction markets to thrive unchecked are optimal. Including children and the implications therein present even greater regulatory complications. While prediction markets are not the only form of gamblification that is currently sweeping across the digital games industry, we do see them as a particular challenge that needs ethical leadership in the industry. We find the possibilities of including a predictive market in Roblox unsettling from the standpoint of how the endeavor of designing games and governance. As such, we see our study of prediction markets as an opportunity to see where digital games are going next, and to ask ourselves what role we will play in the pleasure and pain of our collective future. We may bet on it.

REFERENCES

- Ball, Matthew. 2024. ‘Roblox Is Already the Biggest Game In The World. Why Can’t It Make a Profit (And How Can It)?’ *MatthewBall.Co*, August 18. <https://www.matthewball.co/all/roblox2024>.
- Ball, Matthew. 2025. ‘PRESENTATION: The State of Video Gaming in 2025’. *MatthewBall.Co*, January 14. <https://www.matthewball.co/all/stateofvideogaming2025>.
- Brock, T., & Johnson, M. (2021). The gamblification of digital games. *Journal of Consumer Culture*, 21(1), 3-13.

- Busch, Thorsten, Florence Chee, and Tanja Sihvonen. 2024. 'Sustainability Challenges in the Games Industry'. *2024 IEEE Conference on Games (CoG)*, August, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CoG60054.2024.10645534>.
- Crepax, Tommaso, and Jan Tobias Muehlberg. 2022. 'Upgrading the Protection of Children from Manipulative and Addictive Strategies in Online Games: Legal and Technical Solutions beyond Privacy Regulation'. *The International Review of Information Ethics* 31 (1). <https://doi.org/10.29173/irrie480>.
- Di Amato, Alessio, and Vincenzo Orsini. 2022. 'Beyond Bitcoin: Understanding Legal Boundaries of Blockchain Technology'. *European Journal of Privacy Law & Technologies (EJPLT)* 2022: 110.
- EGBA. n.d. 'EGBA - EU Market'. EGBA European Gaming & Betting Association. Accessed 8 December 2025. <https://www.egba.eu/eu-market/>.
- Epic. n.d. 'In Island Transactions Restrictions in Fortnite | Fortnite Documentation | Epic Developer Community'. Epic Games Developer. Accessed 8 December 2025. <https://dev.epicgames.com/documentation/en-us/fortnite/in-island-transactions-restrictions-in-fortnite>.
- Epic Games. 2017. *Fortnite*. Released. Multiplatform Online Game.
- Gorwa, R. and Veale, M. (2024) 'Moderating model marketplaces: platform governance puzzles for AI intermediaries', *Law, Innovation and Technology*, 16(2), pp. 341–391. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17579961.2024.2388914>.
- Grimes, Sara M. 2021. *Digital Playgrounds: The Hidden Politics of Children's Online Play Spaces, Virtual Worlds, and Connected Games*. University of Toronto Press.
- Guadagnolo, Dan. 2021. 'Why Did Uptown Go Down in Flames? Uptown Cigarettes and the Targeted Marketing Crisis'. In *Surveillance Capitalism in America*. University of Pennsylvania Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv1f45r64>.
- Joseph, Daniel. 2021. 'Battle Pass Capitalism'. *Journal of Consumer Culture* 21 (1): 68–83. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469540521993930>.
- Livingstone, Sonia, and Kim R. Sylwander. n.d. 'Conceptualizing Age-Appropriate Social Media to Support Children's Digital Futures'. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology* n/a (n/a). <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjdp.70006>.
- McEvoy, Sophie. 2024. 'Epic €1.1m Fined for Breaking EU Consumer Law in Netherlands'. *GamesIndustry.Biz*, May 14. <https://www.gamesindustry.biz/epic-11m-fined-for-breaking-eu-consumer-law-in-netherlands>.
- Meehan, Eileen. 2002. 'Gendering the Commodity Audience: Critical Media Research, Feminism and Political Economy'. In *Sex & Money. Feminism and Political Economy in the Media*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Milkaite, Ingrida, Mariana Olaizola Rosenblat, Martin Sas, et al. 2025. *Feedback on the European Commission's Draft Guidelines on the Protection of Minors*

- Online under Article 28 (4) of the Digital Services Act.*
<https://lirias.kuleuven.be/handle/20.500.12942/767630>.
- Mirowski, Philip, and Edward Nik-Khah. 2017. *The Knowledge We Have Lost in Information: The History of Information in Modern Economics*. Oxford University Press.
- Mordor Intelligence. 2025. *Europe Online Gambling Market Size, Growth Drivers, Trends Report 2025 – 2030*. Mordor Intelligence.
<https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/europe-online-gambling-market>.
- Neelakandan, Laya. 2025. 'Fanatics Launches Prediction Market in 24 States'. CNBC, December 3.
<https://www.cnbc.com/2025/12/03/fanatics-launches-prediction-market.html>
- Palma, S. (2026) 'US soldier involved in Maduro capture charged over \$400,000 prediction-market bets', *Financial Times*, 23 April. Available at: <https://www.ft.com/content/43bc927b-683d-417e-aa13-a9b4a933418b?syn-25a6b1a6=1> (Accessed: 14 May 2026).
- Paul, Christopher 2020. *Free-to-Play: Mobile Video Games, Bias, and Norms*. MIT Press.
- Roblox Corporation. 2012. *Roblox*. Released. Multiplatform Online Game.
- Roblox (2026) Roblox Terms of Use. Roblox Corporation. Available at: <https://en.help.roblox.com/hc/en-us/articles/115004647846-Roblox-Terms-of-Use> (Accessed: 14 May 2026).
- Serada, Alesja, Tanja Sihvonen, and J. Tuomas Harviainen. 2021. 'CryptoKitties and the New Ludic Economy: How Blockchain Introduces Value, Ownership, and Scarcity in Digital Gaming'. *Games and Culture* 16 (4): 457–80.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1555412019898305>.
- Xiao, Leon Y. 2021. 'Regulating Loot Boxes as Gambling? Towards a Combined Legal and Self-Regulatory Consumer Protection Approach'. *Interactive Entertainment Law Review*. *Interactive Entertainment Law Review* 4 (1): 27–47. <https://doi.org/10.4337/ielr.2021.01.02>.
- Xiao, L.Y. (2022) 'Which Implementations of Loot Boxes Constitute Gambling? A UK Legal Perspective on the Potential Harms of Random Reward Mechanisms', *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 20(1), pp. 437–454. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00372-3>.