

# Diablerie of a Lore: A Comparative Analysis of Gaming Pleasure in *Bloodlines* and *Bloodlines 2*

**Deniz Özyurda-Ergen**

Yaşar University

İzmir, Türkiye

[ozyurdadeniz@gmail.com](mailto:ozyurdadeniz@gmail.com)

**Erdinç Kaygusuz**

Beykoz University

İstanbul, Türkiye

[erdinckaygusuz@beykoz.edu.tr](mailto:erdinckaygusuz@beykoz.edu.tr)

## EXTENDED ABSTRACT

The immortality of *Vampire: The Masquerade - Bloodlines* (Troika Games, 2004) can be seen not only in its design sophistication but also in its reception, maintaining a Metacritic critic score of 80/100 and an exceptional user score of 8.9/10 (Metacritic, 2025). Acclaim for the game rests on its capacity to interweave vampiric lore, mechanics, and player agency into a cohesive experiential system (Thomas, 2005). Player choices had systemic consequences by affecting questlines, factional alignment, and even the protagonist's psychological state thus enabling what many players retrospectively describe as an "identity-coherent pleasure loop," where being a vampire felt mechanically lived rather than simply represented. As one metacritic reviewer (Xequoted, n.d.) summarized, "A game that has one of the strongest single-player campaigns to ever appear in an RPG and it possesses a world so lush that you will literally want to sink your teeth into it."

By contrast, *Bloodlines 2* (The Chinese Room, 2025) entered with significantly weaker reception, reflected in its Metacritic critic score of 63/100 and user score of 4.6/10, signaling a sharp decline in critical and player satisfaction (Metacritic, 2025). Despite its atmospheric fidelity to the World of Darkness, much of the community reception suggests that the fantasy of vampiric embodiment feels mechanically shallow and aesthetically detached. As Purchase puts it, this is "an action RPG with barely any character development," where abilities are exhausted early and progression remains "thin to the point of nonexistent" (2025). Gazing at reviews across major gaming outlets, there is a strong consensus that *Bloodlines 2* "probably should not have been called *Bloodlines 2*," as critics emphasize that beyond a shared setting and a handful of superficial cameos, the game bears little structural or experiential continuity with Troika's original and instead reframes *Vampire: The Masquerade*'s lore within a fundamentally non-RPG design framework (Tarason, 2025; Wolens, 2025). IGN further argues that core components of *VtM* (*Vampire: the Masquerade*) identity, particularly the escalating hunger track and its associated risk of Frenzy, are almost entirely

Proceedings of DiGRA 2026

© 2026 Authors & Digital Games Research Association DiGRA. Personal and educational classroom use of this paper is allowed, commercial use requires specific permission from the author.

absent, creating the impression of “a generic vampire game rather than a Vampire: The Masquerade game” (Hafer, 2025). The sequel also offers minimal RPG progression: most Disciplines unlock within the first hours, damage never scales, and restrictive loadout systems curtail expressive playstyles. Combined with a finale that discards player choices in a brief, non-reactive epilogue, reviewers consistently describe the experience as structurally shallow and narratively anticlimactic (Hafer, 2025).

This paper argues that the diminished playing pleasure arises from a fundamental breakdown in the relationship between lore, systems, and player agency, a breakdown that becomes evident when the sequel is evaluated in relation to both its predecessor and the broader design principles of the *Vampire: The Masquerade* tabletop tradition. Feeding in *Bloodlines 2* functions primarily as a generic resource loop rather than a narratively risky act tied to masquerade, predation, or social consequence. Clan distinctions exert marginal influence on tactical or role-play identity, flattening the expressive range that once allowed each lineage to feel structurally distinct. Dialogue choices rarely reverberate into branching outcomes or factional dynamics, reducing social interaction to representational surface. As a result, the sequel’s ludic architecture fails to mobilize the core motifs of vampiric fiction, including masquerade, hunger, hierarchy, secrecy, transgression that historically anchored both TTRPG play and *Bloodlines 1*’s design logic. Beyond the specific case of *Bloodlines*, this study contributes to broader discussions on how role-playing games translate narrative worlds into playable systems and how the breakdown between lore, mechanics, and player agency can disrupt player identification and affective engagement in CRPG design.

Methodologically, the paper adopts a comparative framework combining (1) ludo-narrative analysis, (2) narrative-design evaluation, and (3) lore-based interpretive mapping grounded in the World of Darkness canon. This tripartite approach allows the study to examine not only how mechanics and narrative mutually shape player experience, but also how digital adaptations succeed or fail in translating the ontological foundations of the Vampire: The Masquerade Universe into playable systems. The methodology is informed by scholarship on role-playing games as systems that translate identity, embodiment, hierarchy, and power into mechanical structures (Hitchens & Drachen, 2008; Mackay, 2001). This perspective also resonates with scholarship on gothic game aesthetics, which emphasizes that gothic meaning in games emerges not only through narrative motifs but through the interactive construct of gameplay systems (Krzywinska, 2015). It further draws on theoretical accounts that conceptualize vampiric embodiment at the intersections of cultural history, gothic subjectivity, and monstrous identity (Auerbach, 1995; Horner & Zlosnik, 2016; Halberstam, 1995), not as abstract metaphors but as concrete design pillars encoded in the original tabletop mechanics. Within the *VtM* tradition, motifs such as Humanity, Frenzy thresholds, the Hunger–Blood economy, clan-specific Disciplines, and masquerade enforcement function as both narrative and ludic regulators: they frame the vampire as a creature whose agency is perpetually negotiated through constraint, risk, secrecy, and hierarchical obligation. By tracing how these elements were historically operationalized in the tabletop system and how *Bloodlines* successfully adapted them into reactive digital form, the study establishes a benchmark for evaluating the sequel’s systemic design.

Building on this framework, the analysis evaluates the extent to which *Bloodlines 2* preserves or abandons these ontological pillars of vampiric design. *Bloodlines 2*,

however, treats these motifs primarily as narrative decoration. Systems rarely constrain or enable identity in meaningful ways; hunger does not meaningfully destabilize the player's agency, clan lineage rarely structures playstyle, and factional politics unfold largely independent of player intervention. The result is a collapse of the pleasure loop between decision, consequence, and identity that is the very loop that once distinguished the franchise's approach to vampiric embodiment. Bergin notes that *Bloodlines 2* lacks the emergent storytelling that once arose from mechanics and narrative aligning, with many quests reduced to simple, low-stakes A-to-B tasks (2025). As a result, the game magnifies the original's weaknesses without recovering its systemic depth, leading critics to argue that it falls short both as an RPG and as a meaningful successor to Troika's classic (Bergin, 2025).

The paper concludes that *Bloodlines 2* fails not just due to technical or narrative shortcomings but because it fails to translate the ludic logic of vampiric lore into coherent role-play. Reinforcing the alignment between narrative stakes, mechanical consequence, and player agency is essential for restoring the affective and experiential pleasure that defines the World of Darkness as a role-playing tradition. Ultimately, the study argues that meaningful vampiric embodiment requires not only aesthetic representation but the systemic translation of vampiric ontology such as hunger, secrecy, hierarchy, and transgression into mechanics that shape and challenge player identity to create an immersive player experience.

## Keywords

Vampire: the masquerade, rpg mechanics, gaming pleasure, narrative design, comparative analysis

## REFERENCES

- Auerbach, N. 1995. *Our Vampires, Ourselves*. Chicago, IL, USA: University of Chicago Press.
- Bergin, L. 2025. "Vampire: The Masquerade – Bloodlines 2 Review." PCGamesN, 17 October. <https://www.pcgamesn.com/vampire-the-masquerade-bloodlines-2/review>
- Hafer, L. 2025. "Vampire: The Masquerade – Bloodlines 2 Review." IGN, October. <https://www.ign.com/articles/vampire-the-masquerade-bloodlines-2-review>
- Halberstam, J. 1995. *Skin Shows: Gothic Horror and the Technology of Monsters*. Durham, NC, USA: Duke University Press.
- Hitchens, M. and Drachen, A. 2008. "The Many Faces of Role-Playing Games." *International Journal of Role-Playing*. 1: 3–21.
- Horner, A. and Zlosnik, S., ed. 2016. *Women and the Gothic: An Edinburgh Companion*. Edinburgh, UK: Edinburgh University Press.
- Krzywinska, T. 2015. "The Gamification of Gothic: Coordinates in Videogames." In *Proceedings of the 2015 DiGRA International Conference: Diversity of Play*.
- Mackay, D. 2001. *The Fantasy Role-Playing Game: A New Performing Art*. Jefferson, NC, USA: McFarland.

- Metacritic, “*Vampire: The Masquerade – Bloodlines*,” Metacritic, accessed December 1, 2025, <https://www.metacritic.com/game/vampire-the-masquerade-bloodlines/>
- Metacritic, “*Vampire: The Masquerade – Bloodlines 2*,” Metacritic, accessed December 1, 2025, <https://www.metacritic.com/game/vampire-the-masquerade-bloodlines-2/>
- Purchase, R. 2025. “*Vampire: The Masquerade - Bloodlines 2 Review*.” Eurogamer, January. <https://www.eurogamer.net/vampire-the-masquerade-bloodlines-2-review>
- Tarason, D. 2025. “*Vampire: The Masquerade — Bloodlines 2 Review*.” Rock Paper Shotgun, October. <https://www.rockpapershotgun.com/vampire-the-masquerade-bloodlines-2-review>
- Thomas, D. 2005. “*Vampire: The Masquerade – Bloodlines*.” RPGFan, March, <https://www.rpgfan.com/review/vampire-the-masquerade-bloodlines/>
- Wolens, J. 2025. “*Vampire: The Masquerade – Bloodlines 2’s Biggest Mistake Is Being Called Bloodlines 2*.” PC Gamer, 24 October. <https://www.pcgamer.com/games/rpg/vampire-the-masquerade-bloodlines-2s-biggest-mistake-is-being-called-bloodlines-2/>
- Xequet. n.d. “User Review for *Vampire: The Masquerade – Bloodlines*.” Metacritic. <https://www.metacritic.com/game/vampire-the-masquerade-bloodlines/>
- Troika Games. 2004. *Vampire: The Masquerade – Bloodlines*. PC. Activision.
- The Chinese Room. 2025. *Vampire: The Masquerade – Bloodlines 2*. Paradox Interactive and White Wolf Publishing. PlayStation 5, Windows, Xbox Series X/S.