

“The Protagonist Training Project”: How Simulator-Style Producership on Social Media Shapes Our Gamified Daily

Sunny Jie YANG

Waseda University
1 Chome-104 Totsukamachi,
Shinjuku City, Tokyo 169-8050, Japan
yangjiesunny@toki.waseda.jp

Keywords

gamification, social media, xiaohongshu, producership, simulator

INTRODUCTION

Gamification integrates game elements and playful experiences into non-game contexts (Deterding et al. 2011, 9). Social media serves as a prominent arena for gamification (Kim 2015; Hristova and Lieberoth 2021; Wanick and Stallwood 2023), with its applications in education, learning, work, and marketing receiving extensive academic attention (Vasilescu 2014; de-Marcos et al. 2016; Ofosu-Ampong et al. 2021; Ensmann S. and Ensmann A. 2022). While many studies underscore its positive impacts (Aydin 2015, 20), the increasing seamlessness between digital and physical spaces has enabled gamified applications to pervasively infiltrate everyday activities (Kim 2015, 8). Social media adapts and transplants diverse game elements, such as *Streaks* and *Trophies* (Hristova and Lieberoth 2021, 227), to incentivize user content creation. Moreover, in the era of participatory culture where everyone becomes a *producer* (Bruns 2005), participants are increasingly encouraged to design gamified experiences that manage and enrich their personal lifestyles: visualizing schedules with color-coded calendar blocks and task lists, crafting vision boards for the new year and compiling achievement collections at the year’s end, as well as transforming everyday activities like reading, traveling, consuming, or entertaining into little tokens, markers, and points on a personalized “experience map”. In this way, the *producership of gamification*, guided by a ludic logic, is reshaping our daily. It alters how we interact with the world, redefines our behaviors, and generates new demands and meanings within this process.

At the crossroads of game studies, it is urgent to investigate the increasingly prevalent *producership of gamification*¹ through the lens of game studies instead of other media studies. Specifically, the dual identity of producers as both users and producers can be conceptualized as a hybrid role combining player-like and designer-like functions (typically those of hobbyists or amateurs), which enables a deeper understanding of how individuals *engage* with and *experience* gamified cultural practices.

This research focuses on the *Protagonist Training Project*, a collective creative activity on Xiaohongshu (a popular Chinese social media platform with a masonry card-style layout akin to Pinterest), where producers share gamified strategies for self-cultivation and self-motivation, fostering a space of mutual encouragement, support, and accountability. In this preliminary study, 20 posts were retrieved using the search term “Training Project” (*Yangcheng Jihua*), filtered by “Most Liked,” each of which garnered over 10,000 likes. Adopting a text analysis approach, these posts were examined for their visual content, textual elements, hashtags, author acknowledgments (if any), and top comments. The study aims to explore how participants collaboratively create gamified experiences and the implications of these practices for participatory culture and lifestyle design.

KEY FINDINGS

The 20 posts were sequentially coded based on the search results. They were contributed by 17 authors, with 4 posts created by the same individual (posts 2, 3, 8, and 15). Of these authors, 11 identified as female on their profiles, while 6 did not disclose their gender. A textual analysis of these posts revealed two key findings.

First, the activity employs gamified rhetoric to promote self-discipline and motivation. In terms of identity construction, contributors referenced personas such as the “Protagonist/Avatar” (“*Da Nü Zhu*”, posts 2, 3, 7, 8, 14, 15, 16, and 18) and “Barbie” (posts 6 and 12). Regarding narrative settings, some posts adopted themes like “Restarting Life” (posts 9, 10, 11, 13, and 19). Gameplay elements included “task lists” (posts 3, 6, 8, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, and 20), “management” (posts 1, 9, 10, 13, 16, and 17), and “planning” (posts 2, 9, 16, and 20). Victory conditions featured themes of “overcoming adversity” (“*Ni Xi*”, posts 1, 7, 11, 13, 14, and 17). This rhetoric creates a simulator-style gaming experience, where goals and narratives are primarily constructed and navigated by producers. The process emphasizes self-management and progress through goal-oriented “level-ups.”

Second, many posts adopted an encouraging and companionable tone, incorporating phrases such as “together” (posts 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 11, 15, 17, and 19), “become better” (posts 1, 2, 5, 9, 10, 11, 17, 18, 19, and 20), and “companion” (posts 11 and 17). Some posts also referred to other users as “players” (posts 2, 3, 8, 13, 15, and 18). In this sense, the activity fosters an atmosphere akin to that of multiplayer online games, characterized by collaboration, competition, mutual support, and supervision (Zhong 2011, 2352).

DISCUSSION

The result shows that producers in social media use *gamified rhetorics* to infuse their self-motivated activities with game-like experiences, which consequently expand the concept, space, forms, and potential of gamification. This research argues that:

1. The activity constructs a simulator-style gaming experience. However, rather than simulating the routines or identities of Others, it exhibits a significant tendency toward self-starring identity construction—an attempt to simulate a “better” or more idealized self. In this sense, the *produsage of gamification* enables participants to adopt dual roles as both players and designers, which imbues these cultural practices with a sense of subjectivity, control, and immersion.

2. Collective play and other forms of interaction generate a social experience akin to that of a massively multiplayer online role-playing game (MMORPG). Unlike classic MMORPGs, where player interdependence is often a design feature (Taylor 2006, 32), these interactions are typically not collaborative but rather companionate. Producers share similar goals for personal achievement and act as supporters and observers for each other, collectively contributing to and consuming a narrative universe that functions as a “protagonist training ground.”
3. Given that approximately 79.13% of Xiaohongshu users are female (qian-gua.com, 2024), these activities are also imbued with feminist ideologies. For instance, the term “big female protagonist” (*Da Nü Zhu*) describes storytelling centered exclusively on a female lead, advocating for women to become the protagonists of their own lives by prioritizing self-growth and emphasizing self-investment. Therefore, these practices also enrich the forms of gendered political expression.

REFERENCES

- Aydin, Gokhan. “Adoption of Gamified Systems: A Study on a Social Media Gamification Website.” *International Journal of Online Marketing* 5, no. 3 (July 1, 2015). <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJOM.2015070102>.
- Bruns, Axel. “Some Exploratory Notes on Producers and Produsage.” Snurblog, November 3, 2005. <https://snurb.info/node/329>.
- Deterding, Sebastian, Dan Dixon, Rilla Khaled, and Lennart Nacke. “From Game Design Elements to Gamefulness: Defining ‘Gamification.’” In *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments*, 9–15. Tampere Finland: ACM, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2181037.2181040>.
- Ensmann, Suzanne, and Aimee Ensmann. “‘It Helped to Know I Wasn’t Alone’: Exploring Student Satisfaction in an Online Community with a Gamified, Social Media-Like Instructional Approach.” *Online Learning* 26, no. 3 (September 2022): 22–45.
- Hristova, Dayana, and Andreas Lieberoth. “How Socially Sustainable Is Social Media Gamification? A Look into Snapchat, Facebook, Twitter and Instagram.” In *Transforming Society and Organizations through Gamification: From the Sustainable Development Goals to Inclusive Workplaces*, edited by Agnessa Spanellis and J. Tuomas Harviainen, 225–45. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-68207-1_12.
- Kim, Bohyun. “Chapter 1. The Popularity of Gamification in the Mobile and Social Era.” *Library Technology Reports* 51, no. 2 (March 25, 2015): 5–9.
- Marcos, Luis de-, Eva Garcia-Lopez, and Antonio Garcia-Cabot. “On the Effectiveness of Game-like and Social Approaches in Learning: Comparing Educational Gaming, Gamification & Social Networking.” *Computers & Education* 95 (April 1, 2016): 99–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2015.12.008>.
- Ofosu-Ampong, Kingsley, Richard Boateng, Emmanuel Kolong, and Thomas Anning-Dorson. “Motivation in Gamified Social Media Learning: A

- Psychological Need Perspective.” *Journal of Information Systems Education* 32, no. 3 (October 28, 2021): 199–212.
- Taylor, T. L. *Play Between Worlds: Exploring Online Game Culture*. The MIT Press Ser. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2006.
- Vasilescu, Bogdan. “Human Aspects, Gamification, and Social Media in Collaborative Software Engineering.” In *Companion Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Software Engineering*, 646–49. ICSE Companion 2014. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2591062.2591091>.
- Wanick, Vanissa, and James Stallwood. “Brand Storytelling, Gamification and Social Media Marketing in the ‘Metaverse’: A Case Study of The Ralph Lauren Winter Escape.” In *Reinventing Fashion Retailing: Digitalising, Gamifying, Entrepreneuring*, edited by Eirini Bazaki and Vanissa Wanick, 35–54. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-11185-3_3.
- Zhong, Zhi-Jin. “The Effects of Collective MMORPG (Massively Multiplayer Online Role-Playing Games) Play on Gamers’ Online and Offline Social Capital.” *Computers in Human Behavior* 27, no. 6 (November 1, 2011): 2352–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2011.07.014>.

ENDNOTES

¹ The phenomenon referred to as "gamification" in this paper has also been described by various alternative terms. Deterding et al. (2011) have reviewed these, including *playful design* (Ferrara 2012), *behavior games* (Dignan 2011), *game layer* (Priebatsch 2010), *applied gaming* (natronbaxter.com), and *alternate reality game* (McGonigal 2011), among others.