

Shaping Time in Games: Developer Approaches to Temporality

Thomas Byers

The University of Melbourne
Parkville, Victoria, 3051
tom.byers@unimelb.edu.au

Martin Gibbs, Bjørn Nansen

The University of Melbourne
Parkville, Victoria, 3051
martin.gibbs@unimelb.edu.au, nansenb@unimelb.edu.au

Keywords

game development, design, player experience, time, temporality

INTRODUCTION

Digital play involves a combination of both a player's time and a game's interactive capacity. While this capacity has been examined spatially (levels and content [McGregor 2007]) and in terms of aspiration (challenge and immersion [Quantic Foundry 2024]), this project seeks to examine the temporal capacity of video games. Juul (2003) notes that time is an unavoidable cost for players, regardless of a game's design, genre, or goal. This raises the question of how this 'cost' is designed in game development. How are dimensions of game time, play time, and player time valued, measured, and implemented into video game production? How are these aspects communicated, prioritised, and supported internally? To answer these questions this project conducted semi-structured interviews with game developers of diverse genres and studio sizes. These interviews targeted topics such as pacing, player time metrics, and temporal aspects (flow [Csikszentmihalyi 2014], cooldowns [Alvarez Igarzábal 2019], and menu systems [Kraj 2020]). This project also investigated the subjective perspectives of game developers toward temporal dimensions in design, including their personal experiences and their views on broader industry practices. Twenty Participants were selected for their expertise across various roles and game genres, including AAA, mobile, Indie, and live-service games (from across Europe, America, and Australia). Recruitment was carried out via existing professional networks, cold contact emails using publicly available addresses, and LinkedIn Premium outreach. All interviews were conducted via Zoom in a single round and lasted approximately 45–60 minutes. Sessions were audio-recorded with participant consent and transcribed verbatim. By exploring developer strategies, the study will address the identified gap in research on designed temporality and build our understanding of the temporal dimensions of play that drive player engagement, while also considering the organisational and commercial contexts of game development.

Despite some limited research on games and game developers that includes aspects of temporality in game design (McGregor 2007; Klassen et al. 2007; Losi 2018; Sunshine-Hill 2019), there is still a lack of clear insight into how time is integrated into

Proceedings of DiGRA 2025

© 2025 Authors & Digital Games Research Association DiGRA. Personal and educational classroom use of this paper is allowed, commercial use requires specific permission from the author.

industry practices and commercial priorities. In a rare example, the developer of *Braid* (Number None 2008), spoke about how the rewind system presented not only an infinite resource to give temporal agency to players but also to minimise programming hurdles (Yeung 2014). Temporal player data has also been examined by Drachen et al. (2013) as a metric to benefit game developers by addressing the utility of average playtimes and time spent at checkpoints. Yet how developers value or approach these metrics initially, iteratively, and developmentally is under-explored. As Seif El-Nasr et al. (2013, 367) summarise in *Game Analytics Maximizing the Value of Player Data*, temporal player data is essential in the development of progression, path building, AI systems, retention, strategy building, and other analytical frames that impact design and game balance. The use of tools like heatmaps, overlays, and trajectory analysis help visualise temporal data, but whether there is a standardised approach, novel systems, or unique organisational cultures surrounding these practices remains unclear in current literature.

While temporal data and design aspects align with commercially driven goals, how time affects players through design choices is also worth understanding. Howe, (2017), the Creative Producer and overseer at Owlchemy Labs, spoke at the 2017 Game Developers Conference with the recognition that “Time is the core currency for live games”. In a talk that was focused on Freemium mobile games, the qualitative value of player engagement, being the emotional and psychological thought and effort towards a game over time, was highlighted as a key design value over player retention and engagement metrics. This perspective is supported by Klassen et al. (2007), who examined the development strategies of the game studio Meantime. A central aspect of Meantime’s design philosophy was the goal of filling users’ ‘deadtime’ with meaningful interactivity. By probing how developers value temporal dimensions in design and player affect, a standardised framework can be developed to benefit and inform ethical game design choices.

This project aims to explore the intersection of user-centred and commercial significance in the temporal dimensions of game design. We are particularly interested in understanding how game developers navigate the dual roles of time in their work: on the one hand, designing temporal structures and mechanics that support player experience and engagement; on the other hand, making design decisions that align with commercial goals such as marketability, production efficiency, and team coordination. Rather than positioning these as separate or mutually exclusive categories, we recognise that these dimensions often overlap and may even come into tension within the same design process. By investigating how developers approach temporal design both as a means to foster engaging experiences for players and as a strategy to meet commercial objectives, we aim to identify the complex priorities that shape video games as both cultural artifacts (that impact individuals and groups in multifaceted ways [Greenfield 1970; Henderson 2005]) and market-driven products (which must balance creativity with financial support and compensation to continue development [Keogh 2023]).

By directly interviewing game developers, this project moves beyond speculative concerns about persuasive technologies (Tran et al. 2019) and malicious system design (Zagal et al. 2013). While prior research often focuses on understanding and categorising in-game mechanisms accountable for their impact on user temporalities (Zagal & Mateas 2010; Rapp 2022; Alvarez Igarzábal 2019), this study seeks to understand the intentions and constraints developers face when designing for time. This research contributes to and continues a nuanced framework that values the qualitative dimensions of time. It expands beyond quantitative lump totals of play

time to explore how temporal structures motivate engagement, balance user satisfaction, and align with commercial goals. The findings will offer a resource for developers and researchers to evaluate time in design, grounded in real-world practices and ethical considerations, and informed by current game development processes.

REFERENCES

- Alvarez Igarzábal, F. 2019. *Time and Space in Video Games - A Cognitive-Formalist Approach*. Bielefeld: Transcript.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. 2014. *Flow and the Foundations of Positive Psychology*. New York: Springer.
- Drachen, A., A. Canossa, and J. R. M. Sørensen. 2013. "Gameplay Metrics in Game User Research: Examples from the Trenches." *Game Analytics*, 285–319. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-4769-5_14.
- Greenfield, P. M. 1994. "Video Games as Cultural Artifacts." *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology* 15 (1): 3–12. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0193-3973\(94\)90003-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0193-3973(94)90003-5).
- Henderson, L. 2005. "Video Games: A Significant Cognitive Artifact of Contemporary Youth Culture." *DiGRA '05 - Proceedings of the 2005 DiGRA International Conference: Changing Views: Worlds in Play*. <http://www.digra.org/wp-content/uploads/digital-library/06276.11341.pdf>.
- Howe, C. 2017. "The Design of Time: Understanding Human Attention and Economies of Engagement." *GDC Vault*. 2017. <https://www.gdcvault.com/play/1024072/The-Design-of-Time-Understanding>.
- Juul, J. 2003. "The Game, the Player, the World: Looking for a Heart of Gameness." *In Level Up: Digital Games Research Conference Proceedings*. <https://www.jesperjuul.net/text/gameplayerworld/>.
- Keogh, B. 2023. *The Videogame Industry Does Not Exist: Why We Should Think Beyond Commercial Game Production*. MIT Press.
- Klassen, M., S. Denman, and H. Driessen. 2007. "Challenges in Requirements Engineering for Mobile Games Development: The Meantime Case Study." *In Proceedings - 15th IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference, RE 2007*, 375–76. <https://doi.org/10.1109/RE.2007.53>.
- Kraj, N. 2020. "Menus & HUD: the Temporality Perspective." *GD Keys*. 2020. <https://gdkeys.com/menus-hud-the-temporality-perspective/>.
- Losi, E., 2018. It's About Time: System Design for Mobile Free-to-Play. *GDC Vault*. <https://www.gdcvault.com/play/1025168/It-s-About-Time-System>.
- Number None. 2008. *Braid*. Video Game. Number None.
- McGregor, G.L., 2007. Situations of Play: Patterns of Spatial Use in Videogames, in: *DiGRA '07 - Proceedings of the 2007 DiGRA International Conference: Situated Play*. <https://dl.digra.org/index.php/dl/article/view/315/315>.

- Quantic Foundry. 2024. "The Science of Gamer Motivation." *Quantic Foundry*. 2024. <https://quanticfoundry.com/#motivation-model>.
- Rapp, A. 2022. Time, engagement and video games: How game design elements shape the temporalities of play in massively multiplayer online role-playing games. *Information Systems Journal*, 32(1), 5–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ISJ.12328>.
- Seif El-Nasr, M., A. Drachen, and A. Canossa. 2013. *Game Analytics Maximizing the Value of Player Data*. New York: Springer.
- Sunshine-Hill, B., 2019. Beyond Framerate: Taming Your Timeslice Through Asynchrony. *GDC Vault*. <https://gdcvault.com/play/1024202/Beyond-Framerate-Taming-Your-Timeslice>.
- Tran, J. A., Yang, K. S., Davis, K., & Hiniker, A. 2019. Modeling the engagement-disengagement cycle of compulsive phone use. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300542>.
- Yeung, K. 2014. "Super Time Force: Solving the Time Travel Paradox." *GDC Vault*. 2014. <https://www.gdcvault.com/play/1020766/Super-Time-Force-Solving-the>.
- Zagal, J. P., Björk, S., & Lewis, C. 2013. Dark Patterns in the Design of Games. *Foundations of Digital Games 2013*. <https://research.ebsco.com/linkprocessor/plink?id=46a41b5a-afa0-39b1-afdd-8b2d940a59ae>.
- Zagal, J. P., & Mateas, M. 2010. Time in video games: A survey and analysis. *Simulation and Gaming*, 41(6), 844–868. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046878110375594>