Becoming, Circumstances and Practice: A Collective Biography of 3 Games Lecturers

Bruno de Paula, Diane Carr, Danielle Kleinerman

EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Becoming a Game Studies lecturer. What is the point? We work in a field that is interdisciplinary (Deterding 2017). Yet, the ways in which our field is imagined can be relatively narrow — as in the recent UK Video Games Research Framework (UK DfCMS 2023). Here, we focus on the relationships between teaching Game Studies and the field. Ongoing questions about the field are one reason why it is important to continue to reflect on what we are doing, and why. In this paper, three games lecturers, collectively reflect on our teaching practices as a form of 'cultural work' (Freire 2005), constituting and cultivating the future of Game Studies.

During the last two decades, there has been considerable expansion to Higher Education (HE) provision related to videogames (Keogh and Hardwick 2023). We have seen writings and reflections on the act of teaching games in HE, including: how game-related courses can be shaped by multiple epistemological affiliations and pedagogical models (Barba 2022; Rouse and Malazita 2023) the relationship between students and the subject matter (Zagal and Bruckman 2008; Ashton 2009; 2010) pedagogical approaches and challenges (Waern 2013; Geyser 2018; Bettochi, Klimick, and Perani 2020; Phelps and Consalvo 2020; Prax 2020; Bergstrom 2021; Weiller 2021; de Paula and Carr 2022; Wu 2023) and, more recently, the interconnections — especially in Anglophone Global North HE — between games education at universities and discourses on employability and labour conditions (Harvey 2019; 2021; 2023; Keogh and Hardwick 2023).

The work presented here proposes a contribution to Game Studies by looking at a particular element that is often implied, but rarely addressed in this body of research: how does one become a games lecturer? What kind of epistemological affiliations, pedagogical practices and traditions, and aspirations towards our own teacherly work do we have? And how do we, lecturers, respond to emerging interests shaping the evolution – understood here not as 'bettering', but as 'moving onto different phases' – of Game Studies as a field?

In this small-scale, reflexive study we examine our own experiences teaching videogames at different HE institutions in the UK. Beyond our commonalities — e.g., we all found ourselves working in the UK as Game Studies lecturers after having experienced transnational migration; we all are committed to socially-situated, critical

Proceedings of DiGRA 2024

© 2024 Authors & Digital Games Research Association DiGRA. Personal and educational classroom use of this paper is allowed, commercial use requires specific permission from the author.

readings of games as elements of cultures –, we are academics with different backgrounds, trajectories, and at different career stages – e.g., one is a professor; one is transitioning between early and mid-career academic; one is an early career academic.

In this paper, then, through a collective biography approach (Gonick 2015; Clift and Clift 2017), we leverage our own experiences, including our relationships with games and games research, the challenges found in different stages and iterations of our different teaching practices (including encounters with diverse students), and our own aspirations regarding games in HE in a productive way to understand how our different experiences and perspectives can both help challenge limited understandings of our field (Phillips 2020; Bergstrom 2022) and inform discussions on the possible futures for games in academic contexts. With this work, our goal is not to produce a 'how to' manual on teaching games as this would be inappropriate, considering the interdisciplinary nature of game-related education in HE. On the contrary, we argue that, in the same way that the teaching of games in HE should to aim prepare students into becoming cultural workers (Harvey 2019; Keogh 2023), we must recognise ourselves—games lecturers—as cultural workers in the Freirean (Freire 2005) sense: as cultural mediators helping to shape the field.

Keywords

Game Studies, Teaching game studies, collective biography, reflexivity.

REFERENCES

- Ashton, Daniel. 2009. 'Thinking with Games: Exploring Digital Gaming Imaginaries and Values in Higher Education'. *Journal of Media Practice* 10 (1): 57–68. https://doi.org/10.1386/jmpr.10.1.57_1.
- ——. 2010. 'Player, Student, Designer: Games Design Students and Changing Relationships With Games'. Games and Culture 5 (3): 256–77. https://doi.org/10.1177/1555412009359766.
- Barba, Evan. 2022. 'Unboxing Games Education'. In *What Happens When We Play*, edited by Rebecca Rouse, Bjorn B Marklung, and Anna-Sofia Alklind Taylor. ETC Press. https://press.etc.cmu.edu/node/6028.
- Bergstrom, Kelly. 2021. 'What If We Were All Novices? Making Room for Inexperience in a Game Studies Classroom'. *Digital Culture & Education* 13 (2): 37–53.
- ——. 2022. 'Ignoring the Blood on the Tracks: Exits and Departures from Game Studies'. *Critical Studies in Media Communication* 39 (3): 173–80. https://doi.org/10.1080/15295036.2022.2080847.
- Bettochi, Eliane, Carlos Klimick, and Letícia Perani. 2020. 'Can the Subaltern Game Design? An Exploratory Study About Creating a Decolonial Ludology Framework Through Ludonarratives'. In *Proceedings of DiGRA 2020*. Tampere. http://www.digra.org/wp-content/uploads/digital-library/DiGRA 2020 paper 376.pdf.
- Clift, Bryan C., and Renée T. Clift. 2017. 'Toward a "Pedagogy of Reinvention": Memory Work, Collective Biography, Self-Study, and Family'. *Qualitative Inquiry* 23 (8): 605–17. https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800417729836.

- Deterding, Sebastian. 2017. 'The Pyrrhic Victory of Game Studies: Assessing the Past, Present, and Future of Interdisciplinary Game Research'. *Games and Culture* 12 (6): 521–43. https://doi.org/10.1177/1555412016665067.
- Freire, Paulo. 2005. *Teachers As Cultural Workers: Letters to Those Who Dare Teach*. 1st edition. Boulder (Colo.): Westview Press.
- Geyser, Hanli. 2018. 'Decolonising the Games Curriculum: Interventions in an Introductory Game Design Course'. *Open Library of Humanities* 4 (1). https://doi.org/10.16995/olh.217.
- Gonick, Marnina. 2015. 'Producing Neoliberal Subjectivities: Literacy, Girlhood, and Collective Biography'. *Cultural Studies* ↔ *Critical Methodologies* 15 (1): 64–71. https://doi.org/10.1177/1532708614557322.
- Harvey, Alison. 2019. 'Becoming Gamesworkers: Diversity, Higher Education, and the Future of the Game Industry'. *Television & New Media* 20 (8): 756–66. https://doi.org/10.1177/1527476419851080.
- ———. 2021. 'Making the Grade: Feminine Lack, Inclusion, and Coping Strategies in Digital Games Higher Education'. *New Media & Society*, January, 1461444820986831. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444820986831.
- ———. 2023. 'Making Sense of Gameswork: University Marketing Materials as Games Paratexts'. In (Not) In the Game: History, Paratexts and Games, edited by Regina Seiwald and Edwin Vollans, 177–94. De Gruyter Oldenbourg. https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110732924-010.
- Keogh, Brendan. 2023. *The Videogame Industry Does Not Exist: Why We Should Think Beyond Commercial Game Production*. The MIT Press. https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/14513.001.0001.
- Keogh, Brendan, and Taylor Hardwick. 2023. 'Creative, Technical, Entrepreneurial: Formative Tensions in Game Development Higher Education'. *Games and Culture*, May, 15554120231176874. https://doi.org/10.1177/15554120231176874.
- Paula, Bruno de, and Diane Carr. 2022. 'Platforms and Texts, Rules and Play: Teaching Game Design and Game Analysis'. In *Proceedings of Game Analysis Perspectives Conference*, 12–15. Copenhagen. https://blogit.itu.dk/msgproject/wp-content/uploads/sites/35/2022/04/conference-proceedings.pdf#page=12.
- Phelps, Andrew, and Mia Consalvo. 2020. 'Teaching Students How to Make Games for Research-Creation/Meaningful Impact: (Is Hard)'. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on the Foundations of Digital Games*, 1–7. FDG '20. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery. https://doi.org/10.1145/3402942.3402990.
- Phillips, Amanda. 2020. 'Negg(at)Ing the Game Studies Subject: An Affective History of the Field'. *Feminist Media Histories* 6 (1): 12–36. https://doi.org/10.1525/fmh.2020.6.1.12.
- Prax, Patrick. 2020. 'Boal on a Boat Teaching Critical Game Making'. In *Proceedings* of DiGRA 2020.
- Rouse, Rebecca, and James Malazita. 2023. 'Critical Disciplinary Thinking and Curricular Design in Games'. *Design Issues* 39 (1): 88–104. https://doi.org/10.1162/desi_a_00708.
- UK DfCMS. 2023. 'Video Games Research Framework'. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/video-games-research-framework/video-games-research-framework.

- Waern, Annika. 2013. 'Game Analysis as a Signature Pedagogy of Game Studies'. In *FDG* 13, 275–82. Chania, Greece: FDG. http://www.fdg2013.org/program/papers/paper36 waern.pdf.
- Weiller, Thais Arrias. 2021. 'Teaching People What They Already Know. Designing Game Design Courses'. *Gamevironments*, no. 5 (December). https://doi.org/10.48783/gameviron.v15i15.158.
- Wu, Hong-An (Ann). 2023. 'Pedagogical Encounters with Structural Whiteness in Games: Tales and Reflections from a Game Studies Classroom'. *Journal of Games Criticism* 5 (Bonus Issue A). https://gamescriticism.org/2023/07/27/pedagogical-encounters-with-structural-whiteness-in-games-tales-and-reflections-from-a-game-studies-classroom/.
- Zagal, José P., and Amy Bruckman. 2008. 'Novices, Gamers, and Scholars: Exploring the Challenges of Teaching About Games'. *Game Studies* 8 (2). http://gamestudies.org/0802/articles/zagal_bruckman.